BOOK REVIEWS


This volume is the fourth in the series on Continental Legal History which is being published under the auspices of the Association of American Law Schools. Possibly of all the volumes published in this series this book best reveals the historic sequence between the Germanic law and the Early English law. Professor Huebner does not write with this thought in his mind, but to the mind of the reader trained in the English Common Law there must come an ever-recurring sense of the underlying similarity of the Germanic law before the fourteen and fifteen hundreds and the English law of the same, or even a little later, period.

Professor Huebner had quite a different object to attain. A natural national pride led the German legal scholars to become restless under the apparently accepted fact that they as a people had originated no system of law; that the law under which they lived and which was taught in their universities was not a law of their own at all, but was simply the Roman law which had been “received” in the fourteen and the fifteen hundreds. Slowly, but solidly and steadily, German thought set itself to the task of proving that in spite of that reception a true Germanic law had grown up on German soil, had lived through the reception, though submerged, and had come into its own again in January, 1900, when the Civil Code was promulgated as the national law. To an exposition of the growth and the nature of this Germanic law Professor Huebner devotes his great learning through the pages of this work. The reader is led to see that there is good ground for the author’s statement that at the time of the reception “it was truly a misfortune that there existed in Germany, in the beginning, only an ‘unspeakably narrow-minded class of jurists. They despised the native law, or haughtily ignored it, as compared with the revered ‘ratio scripta’ of the Roman law.’” Many a modern student of the English Common Law has had occasion to feel a like rebellion against this claim of the Roman Law to be “ratio scripta” and can sympathize with the feeling expressed by Professor Huebner. “With an utter lack of understanding they forced the living institutes of the German law, wherever these had maintained themselves in positive authority, into the categories of the Roman law. Under their pedantic and incompetent hands the German law, once so full of vitality, became an inflexible and esoteric mass of learning, full of subtleties” (p. 28, sec. 3). Professor Huebner goes on to demonstrate—and it would seem successfully—as he takes up the great divisions of the law as we of the common law know them, the law of persons, of things, of land,—the history of the true Germanic law. He tells the story of its steady, never-ceasing growth in, over, and under, the body of that which was called the “common law,” which was that alien law which came from Rome. He does not in any way repudiate that Roman law; does not minimize its influence, its value even, but his object is to show that the spirit of the Germanic law, which was a part of the life and the mind of the
people, was transfused through the entire body of the law, and it was this law, truly Germanic in essence, which, when Germanic ideas were brought at last into a collected whole upon the formation of the German civil code, appeared in its true form of a thoroughly Germanic and homogenous growth.

The similarity of the early English and Germanic law has already been alluded to. The differences in development of the two national types is shown by Professor Huebner, not only to owe much of that divergence to differences of national character and environment, but also to have been due to the different way in which the Roman law influenced the German and the English legal thought. In speaking of the "reception" of the Roman law in Germany and of its influence in England Professor Huebner says, quoting from Brunner, that in England "the national law was spared a 'reception': an early acquaintance with the Corpus Juris Civilis, seems rather, 'in the manner of a prophylactic inoculation to have rendered it immune to a fatal infection.'"

Those students of the modern German law who may have been troubled by the terminology of that law, and its borrowing from the terminology of the Roman law, will especially appreciate the magnitude of the escape of the English common law from that "infection." Had it been otherwise we who have inherited that law to so great a degree would have to regret, not a "Jurist-German, ridiculously embellished with foreign words," but a juristic English, made stiff and formal by an inherited antiquity of thought, and a rigid formality of language, instead of the succinct and mobile phrases which have come down to us from the facile French of our early law writings.

We have been taught to admire the German Codes; it is very possible that those who have taught us to admire them have given the credit of most of the clear thought and logical development of these codes to their Roman origin. We are indebted to Professor Huebner and his colleagues of the same school of thought for impressing upon us the fact that the merits of that great work of codification are not due to Roman thought and the influence of Roman law, but that it is the outgrowth of a truly indigenous movement, and a clear and definite expression of Germanic legal thought.

M. C. Klingelsmith.


This volume completes the series of works on Continental Légal History published under the auspices of the Association of American Law Schools. Earlier volumes have from time to time received favorable comment in this review and it is only right at this time to offer to the editorial committee congratulations upon the completion of an undertaking of the highest value to legal education in America. The scope of this volume is very broad, covering as it does in broad outline the movement for codification throughout Europe that had its chief source of inspiration in the Code Napoleon, the legislation of the period directed to meet changed political and economic conditions and lastly the movement still in its infancy for the unification of the private law of the several nations. The contributors represent many countries and include Al- varex of Chile, Duguit Charmont and Gaidement of France, Vanni and Rocco
of Italy and Reinsch, Baldwin and Wigmore of the United States, the trans-
slators are Layton B. Register, of Philadelphia, and Ernest Bruncken, of Wash-
ington. It is not easy to generalize about movements so close to our times as 
those that form the principal subjects of comment in this volume, and much 
that is written may seem to belong to the field of sociology rather than to law 
in the strict sense. Useful as it may be, it is very difficult to make codification 
interesting to the average reader even of law. The promise of unification of 
the law held out in the latter part of the volume seems unlikely to be soon ful-
filled in the present state of the world. But these difficulties are in the ma-
terial and are largely offset by the serious and scholarly way in which they are 
presented. The volume will be of the highest value to those working in the 
field of comparative law and of true interest to all who, whether as lawyers or 
historians, wish to get to the heart of the Nineteenth Century.

William H. Lloyd.

INTERNATIONAL WATERWAYS. By Paul Morgan Ogilvie, M.A. Mac-

An astonishing combination is presented by the author of this book. The first part, which bears the sub-title of "Evolution of the Principle," is a 
non-technical and highly interesting sketch of the part that the sea has played 
in the life of man and the extent of sovereignty which various nations in the 
history of the world have claimed over it, and over inland waterways. After 
two pages of over-conscious struggle for words, the style becomes natural and 
easy (except for an annoying use of semi-colons in place of commas and paren-
theses). Part II of the book, on the other hand, contains 250 pages of ex-
haustive indices and bibliographies relating to the international inland water-
ways throughout the world. The first part is for the non-expert, who in reading 
this straightforward outline of man's progress on the sea, will find both his brain 
and his imagination stirred. But such a reader will find but little use for Part II, 
which enables one to locate international treaties such as those relating to the 
Whangpoo River (China), the Fly River (New Guinea) or the fisheries in the 
Worn River (Africa). Whereas for the expert, who wishes to delve into the 
intricacies to which Part II leads, Part I would be too elementary to be of service.

In Part I the author traces the idea of sovereignty of individual states 
over the high seas from the earliest days, showing that the principle of freedom 
of the seas was not generally recognized until a century ago, when Great Britain, 
after years of wars in which she had successfully maintained her sovereignty 
over the Four Seas, gave up her claim at the moment when she had reached the 
point where the claim was unchallenged, realizing that her future lay in a free-
dom of the seas watched over by a British navy. The encroachments of bellig-
erents in the World War on the principle of freedom of the seas through the 
doctrine of "continuous voyage," paper blockades, and the extension of con-
traband are noted, but the author has no solution or forecast to offer beyond a 
belief (p. 168) that "ultimately, through the slow process of international con-
sensus" the right of use of the seas will be freed from these and other remaining 
restrictions.

It is unusual that such a book as this should contain no mention of the 
great ocean-to-ocean canals of the world, such as Suez, Panama and Kiel.

Robert Dechert.

In days of trusts and labor unions, a backward glance at the guild system affords an interesting and perhaps profitable experience. The author presents in brief but crystal form the origin of the guilds, their eventual organization and administration, their aims and methods, their decay and death. We obtain an understanding of the structure on which the trade and production of the Middle Ages rested.

One is tempted to draw analogies between this day and that. There is a familiar ring in reading of "monopolies," "boycott," "curtailed production," "brotherhood," and "fines"—there were scabs, strikes, combinations, and Adamson Acts in those days. Both the Tobacco Trust and the Hod Carriers' Union express features of the guild system, and we must remember that that system included not only the lowly Soap Boilers' Guild but also those Guilds whose plutocratic potentates were made famous upon the canvasses of Rembrandt and Van du Helst. Probably the New York Stock Exchange is the nearest modern equivalent to the medieval type of organization.

The Guilds were built upon the theory of privilege. With the coming of "laissez-faire," they disappeared. In emerging from a century marked by "laissez-faire" we may be on the threshold of pursuing methods of organization similar to those of six hundred years ago. The author refrain from modern comparisons but this short book is but a part of the larger work, the second part of which, upon the Modern Trade Union movement, will in all probability offer keen historical deductions.

R. S. I.