BOOK REVIEWS.


The first four editions of Shearman's and Redfield's Law of Negligence have so commended themselves to the judges and lawyers of America that the fifth edition of this work, just published, is certain to receive careful study and thoughtful consideration at the hands of both bench and bar.

After ten more years study and observation on this difficult and confused branch of the law, the learned authors have substantially re-written their book and offer to the profession a work which must add to the high reputation they already enjoy as distinguished students of the Law of Negligence. As in the former editions, it is the tireless energy and patience displayed by the authors in prosecuting their researches over so wide a field, the fine discrimination and power of a concise, clear exposition of the law, and their vigorous and scholarly criticisms of the law of negligence as interpreted in many courts, which give to this work a high rank among legal treatises.

But the practising attorney will appreciate, almost as much, perhaps, the service rendered by the authors in presenting a work which bears on every page the clearest evidence of thoroughness, patience and careful revision. Sixteen thousand cases are cited in the work, and these are selected with a care and avoidance of repetition not often seen. The cases are cited from a great number of jurisdictions, and the authors seldom fail to point out the different roads along which the law has been developed in different states. They also avoid in the notes the error of placing the names of fifteen or twenty cases after a legal proposition, leaving it to the reader to select and subdivide. Usually, each case cited in the notes has a line or two affixed, stating the gist of the decision.

The freedom displayed by the authors in criticising the decisions of even the highest courts is even more noticeable in this edition than in former ones. The reader will find himself unable to agree with the authors on many points, and may not share their readiness to disregard former decisions, but he will recognize that the authors bring to bear on every criticism they offer, thorough research—clear analysis—sound legal sense and a manifest freedom from bias. They plead generally for the constant expansion and extension of liability for negligence instead of attempting—as is the tendency of many jurisdictions—to limit and restrict it by introducing further exceptions. An illustration in point is found in the rule as to the limitations of a master's liability to a servant. The learned authors
do not dispute, of course, the existence of a rule of limitations (apparently, merely because the rule is too well settled to admit of dispute). But they seek to limit the rule by an investigation of the reason for its existence. Differing with Wharton, Pollock, C.B., and Shaw, they argue in substance that "since the rule of limitation must rest on the assumption, that in a majority of cases, so large as to constitute a rule for all others, both employer and employe tacitly understand, when the employment begins, that the employe is not to expect indemnity from the employer against the negligence of other persons in the same common employment," that therefore the sole basis which can justify any limitation of the master's liability to a servant as distinguished from a stranger is that of an implied condition of a contract. "Those adjudications," they conclude, "which can stand under this test, ought to stand; and the sooner all others are overruled, the better will be the state of the law."


The author of this work, in his conception of his task, has in mind the fundamental principle that "the great object in judicial evidence is the discovery of truth." This voyage of discovery has become somewhat longer in the Second Edition, but the contents of the 700 odd pages of the book are easily reached by a careful and minute table, and the exhaustive table of cases is an additional help.

With reference to the method to be employed in the discovery of truth, the author states in the introduction to the First Edition—and with great reverence—that any attempt to impose a particular logical theory upon the judges or the legal profession would be sheer nonsense. While in actual practice, this is undoubtedly true; still the value of some logical theory in the writing of a textbook is apparent. It is readily admitted that evidence is a difficult subject to arrange—but this very difficulty shows clearly the necessity of some logical theory which can at least be used as a working principle subject to modification and, may be, radical revision as the existing condition of things demands. A logical theory makes a good skeleton upon which to hang the flesh and blood of the innumerable matters of fact with the investigation of which evidence has to deal. This mixture of theory and expediency makes a pleasing whole, but in Mr. Bradner's work the appearance of logical theory seems almost entirely accidental. In his preface to
the Second Edition, he succinctly states that the method in this work aims to give, in successive chapters, the characteristic rules now applied by our courts. The book is written for the practitioner, and the chief aim is to lay the law of evidence out so flat that there may be no point which the practitioner can fail to see. The ability to steer for any particular point is greatly increased by the comprehensive index at the end of the book.

The arrangement of the book is practical in this sense; but, after reading, the impression is that one has been through a great deal—for the order of one's going a reference to the table of contents and the index is necessary. This state of things may be satisfactory to the practitioner who has his proofs to prepare or to one who, through entire familiarity with the law of evidence, can group it around the theory which is already present in himself. To the student who demands something which he can assimilate and reproduce for himself at any time, the work is not readily suited. In justice to the author, however, a knowledge of Greenleaf, Best or Stephen is presupposed, for this single volume is not meant to be a reproduction of their works in a new form. As might be expected, the book is weak in the discussion of general principles—there being neither intention to include nor room for such matter. The principles of classification followed are "to put like with like according to the principles involved." In the absence of any well defined logical theory to be followed out, what constitutes "likeness" in classifying rests entirely in the author's discretion. The book shows a system which has a practical use; but it is the system of a catalogue, and not of an apparent logical theory.

A valuable chapter has been added to the First Edition on the subject of "What may be proved under particular issues," and the chapter on "Practice in Admission and Rejection of Evidence" is particularly interesting to the student. The index is full and complete, but in some places there is a particularity of reference which the text itself does not warrant.

We would conclude, then, that the book accomplishes as much as the author claims for it. He says in the preface, "all we propose to do is to collate the work of the judges and put it into a concise form for the use of the profession." As a book of reference the work is of value, for the mechanical details are well worked up. For the reasons we have already stated, the work is not so satisfactory as a mainstay of the student, for the author expressly omits the necessary features of a first class text-book.

A. T. J.

*Atlas of Legal Medicine. Illustrated. By Dr. E. Von Hofmann, Professor of Legal Medicine and Director of the Medico-Legal Institute at Vienna. Translated from the German, and edited by Frederick Peterson, M. D., and Aloysius O. J. Kelly, M. D. Philadelphia: W. B. Saunders. 1898.*
This work, an authorized translation from the German by Drs. Peterson and Kelly, is one of the famous Lehman Medicinische Handatlanten whose scientific accuracy, pictorial beauty and cheapness have combined to give them a world-wide popularity. The present volume includes fifty-six plates in colors and one hundred and ninety-three illustrations in black, all taken from actual cases seen in one of the greatest institutes of legal medicine in the world and collected by one who, until his recent death, ranked as, perhaps, the ablest living expert in his line.

It is not a pleasant book. The sensations it inspires are much like those produced by a visit to a chamber of horrors or an afternoon at the morgue. Nevertheless, the practical value of these plates, each accompanied by a detailed account of the case and the correct deduction to be drawn from similar appearances in other cases, can be judged only by those who in the courts of law must solve the problems arising from crimes against the person. For instance, the hymen in both its virgin and deflorated states is shown in some fifty varieties. Gun-shot wounds, the deformation of projectiles by contact with bone, injuries to skull and brain from various causes, burns of the skin by fire and by chemicals, and the action upon the digestive tract of irritant poisons, all these are admirably shown. Murder by stabbing and shooting, suicide by hanging in various styles, death from charcoal fumes; illustrations of these victims and many others run riot through the ghastly pages.

As has been said, the book deals only with crimes against the person; the medico-legal relations of insanity and kindred subjects find no place here. It is hardly a work that must needs grace the shelves of every practicing attorney; but for coroners, coroner’s physicians and all those to whom knowledge of these matters is essential there is probably in the field of forensic medicine nothing to be compared with this.

F. M. L.