

it is ripe, pays sufficient regard to the rights and interest of *both* parties, and is far preferable to that which forbids the levy, and so pre-

vents the lien from attaching, and enables the debtor to defraud the creditor of his just claims.

PHILADELPHIA.

R. D. S.

“RES ADJUDICATA.”

There seems to be a tendency to substitute the above words in the place of the classic “Res Judicata” of the same language.

The latter words have been consecrated by a precise meaning given to them by the great jurists since the classic period of the civil law.

Modestinus defines the term thus : “Res judicata dicitur quæ finem controversiarum pronunciatione judicis accepit. Quod vel condemnatione vel absolutione contingit.”¹ In French it is called *la chose jugée*, and in English we improperly anglicize the “ad-judicata” and call it the thing adjudged, with the meaning that it is a judgment in a judicial controversy rendered by a Court of last resort, or in a case from which an appeal has ceased to be available and the judgment has come to import absolute verity between the parties.

The term *res adjudicata* is also known to the Roman law, but is applied only to a particular class of cases or to public sales of property.

There are in the civil law the three actions called the *judicia divisoria*, named *familiæ erciscundæ* (the partition of estates among heirs), *de communi dividundo* (partition of property held in common) and *finium regundorum*, the suit to settle controversies respecting boundaries between contiguous lands. In these actions the respective portions of the property to be divided are *ad-judicated* to the parties and their rights under the decree may be called *res adjudicatæ*.²

¹ Pandects, Liber 42, Tit. 1, Lex. 3. See also the Code, Lib. 7, Tit. 45, Const. 3.

² See Institutes of Justinian, Liber 4, Tit. 17, Secs. 6 and 7.