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"A Nation of Thieves": Securing Black People's Right to Shop and to Sell in White America

Regina Austin

In my previous work, I have dealt with black lawbreakers as if they were the exception rather than the rule, and then argued that some of them are more deserving of sympathetic consideration than others. The notion that black lawbreakers are atypical, however, flies in the face of the fact that, in so very many areas of public life, blacks in general are treated like an outlaw people. Blacks are condemned and negatively stereotyped for engaging in activities that white people undertake without a second thought. Among the most significant of these activities is buying and selling goods and services. Despite the passage of state and federal antidiscrimination and public accommodations laws, blacks are still fighting for the right to shop and the right, if not the reason, to sell. Because blacks have not yet secured these rights, those who have the temerity to shop and to sell are very often treated like economic miscreants.

Shopping and selling by blacks, or more broadly consumption
and commerce, are in essence considered deviant activities by many whites and by many blacks as well. Though it may be hard for some readers to accept the categorization of such mundane activities as deviant behavior, Howard Becker's interactionist or labeling theory of deviance is a particularly apt description of the phenomenon. According to Becker,

social groups create deviance by making the rules whose infraction constitutes deviance, and by applying those rules to particular people and labeling them as outsiders. From this point of view, deviance is not a quality of the act the person commits, but rather a consequence of the application by others of rules and sanctions to an "offender." The deviant is one to whom that label has successfully been applied; deviant behavior is behavior that people so label.2

Deviance, then, is gauged not by the nature of an act, but by the responses of powerful people to that act. Deviance is a social construct and a mechanism of social control. An activity may be labeled deviant even though it does not represent a threat to the social order.

Consider now, in light of this definition of deviance, the experiences of blacks endeavoring to shop.

I. THE WARS IN THE STORES AND ELSEWHERE: SHOPPING AS BLACK DEVIANCE

Tales about the obstacles blacks encounter in trying to spend their money in white-owned stores and shops are legendary. Blacks are treated as if they3 were all potential shoplifters, thieves, or deadbeats. There can hardly be a black person in urban America who has not been denied entry to a store, closely watched, snubbed, questioned about her or his ability to pay for an item, or stopped and detained for shoplifting.4 Salespeople either are slow to wait on

3. I am black. I use the third person plural rather than the first person plural when referring to black people not to distance myself from other blacks, but to avoid the suggestion that (1) there are no differences between my own experience and the experiences of other blacks; and (2) there are no divisions among blacks based on class, gender, sexual orientation, age, religion, and geographical location.
blacks and rude when they do; or they are too quick to wait on blacks whom they practically shove out the door. Although anecdotal evidence suggests that men are more likely to encounter such treatment, women are similarly victimized. As an eighteen-year-old female resident of a Harlem housing project put it: “Some white people are very rude . . . . All black females and boys are treated the same—bad—when they go into a white store.” Even the youngest black consumers are in for a good bit of distrust.

Any kind of ordinary face-to-face retail transaction can turn into a hassle for a black person. At the deli counter or the butcher shop, blacks get cheated by short-weighting or being sold inferior products. Empirical research suggests that blacks pay more than whites for standardized products like cars where negotiation is required. Blacks have problems obtaining credit to buy goods, and must endure suspicious scrutiny if they pay by credit card or check. They are also given a hard time when they want to return goods that are defective or unsatisfactory.
Retail establishments are not the only concerns that provide inferior products and poor service. The businesses that treat blacks poorly run the gamut from airlines to banks to movie theaters. Restaurants, for example, have many ways of letting black people know their business is not appreciated. Slow or no service is perhaps the most blatant form of rejection, but I have experienced more subtle techniques, like being escorted past empty tables in the front of a restaurant to a separate room at the back. On another occasion, I was served a crème brûlée (a fancy French dessert) covered with salt, not sugar.

The harm that blacks suffer from disrespectful and disparate treatment in commercial settings goes beyond psychological pain or the sting of injustice in a legal regime supposedly dedicated to racial equality. For me, the most disturbing aspect of the discriminatory service blacks experience in ordinary commercial transactions is the economic exploitation such behavior represents. If blacks pay the same prices as everyone else and get less in the way of service or merchandise, they are being cheated. Moreover, discriminatory service narrows blacks’ choices regarding where to consume, and impedes their ability to enter into efficient commercial transactions. Those merchants holding themselves out as being “willing” to deal with blacks can extract a premium for doing so. Also, as is discussed more fully below, many of the maneuvers blacks employ to make consumption easier entail costs that add to

10. See, e.g., Eugene Morris, The Difference in Black and White, AMERICAN DEMOGRAPHICS, Jan. 1993, at 44, 49 (reporting flight attendants confront black travelers storing items in first-class bins because attendants assume blacks do not fly first class); Lorraine Kidd, African-American Moviegoers Complain About Segregation, GANNETT NEWS SERV., Sept. 6, 1991 (reporting Wilmington, Delaware NAACP was investigating complaints that films about black life were being shown only in worst theaters); Teresa Simons, Health Spa Discrimination Suits Settled for $9.5 Million, U.P.I., Feb. 27, 1991 (citing claims that Holiday Spas both discouraged blacks from seeking membership and treated black members in discriminatory fashion as in case of black female who was barred from wearing bare-midriff exercise outfits like those worn by whites).

11. See Calvin Sims, Restaurant Chain Settles Charges of Racial Bias, N.Y. TIMES, Mar. 26, 1993, at A14 (detailing claims of discrimination against Denny’s restaurant chain, including demands that blacks pay cover charge and refusals to honor requests for advertised special offers).

12. The service blacks receive in some restaurants today is reminiscent of what blacks expected during the heyday of Jim Crow. Writes Stetson Kennedy of that period: “In restaurants, the head waiter may insist that all vacant tables are ‘reserved,’ or he may seat you out of sight or near a hot kitchen, or you may be allowed to sit indefinitely without service, or you may be served food too salty to eat, etc.” STETSON KENNEDY, JIM CROW GUIDE: THE WAY IT WAS 194 (1959).

13. See generally NIGHTINGALE, supra note 6, at 128–30; Williams, Spirit-Mur­dering the Messenger, supra note 4, at 127–30, 139–42.
the price of purchases. Finally, whites too are exploited by the disparate treatment blacks receive (though whites hardly seem to notice). Whites who believe that concerns which discourage black patronage are more desirable than those that do not, pay a price for such exclusivity that has nothing to do with the quality of the goods or services otherwise provided. Blacks who scale the barriers these firms erect get similarly gypped for their effort. Of course, whites who shop for exclusivity may simply be responding to the reality that, when a business begins to serve a disproportionate number of blacks, the quality of goods and services declines.

Service discrimination against blacks is facilitated by a complex ideology about blacks and their money that is compatible with the notion that black consumption is deviant behavior. Blacks are denied the treatment accorded whites because some merchants believe that black people's money is not good enough for them. An equal or greater threat is posed by those merchants who believe that black money is too good, i.e., too good for blacks to be left with much of it. It is assumed that blacks do not earn their money honestly, work for it diligently, or spend it wisely. When blacks have money, they squander it and cannot save it. If blacks are cheated in the course of commercial transactions, it is because they cheat themselves either by being unsophisticated and incompetent consumers or by making it difficult for a decent ethical person to make a profit from doing business with them. As a result, individual entrepreneurs feel perfectly justified in taking advantage of blacks as a means of privately policing or controlling blacks' spending malefactions.

The perception that there is something wrong with blacks' pursuit of consumption impedes their ability to obtain legal redress for discriminatory treatment. Like the participants in widely acknowledged deviant subcultures, blacks have little recourse to law as they pursue their socially censured and discouraged commercial activities. The absence of effective legal remedies against indiscriminant scrutiny and disrespect is not, at least in the opinion of many shopkeepers and storeowners, the product of a regime that zealously favors merchants over shoppers. On the contrary, the proprietors of retail businesses maintain that they resort to tight security and extensive surveillance because the laws designed to deter and punish shoplifting are inefficient and ineffective. Merchant detention statutes permit storeowners to search and detain in a reasonable manner shoppers reasonably suspected of shoplifting.14 However, a storeowner risks being sued for false imprisonment if

the detention proves to have been unwarranted. Criminal prosecution of shoplifters is “expensive because it ties up sales clerks and security officers in municipal court and leaves valuable merchandise parked in police evidence lockers.” Shoplifting cases are not given much attention by the criminal justice system; in some jurisdictions storeowners must hire lawyers to prosecute the cases themselves. Civil recovery laws entitle storeowners to recover damages and penalties from shoplifters, but the civil process does not generate as much deterrence as criminal prosecutions, and many shoplifters do not have the funds to pay a monetary judgment.

Forced, in their view, to rely on security and surveillance, storeowners especially target blacks because (1) blacks are supposedly overrepresented among lawbreakers, and (2) storeowners cannot discern a law-abiding black from a potentially law-defying black. There is little in the law to prevent merchants from proceeding on these assumptions. Despite the ubiquity of blacks’ experiences of discrimination, case law suggests that storeowners have rarely been charged with watching, detaining, or deterring shoppers in a racially-biased way. When so attacked, storeowners have invoked the objective evidence of shoplifter profiles to justify their conduct.

17. Id.
21. For example, in K-Mart Corp. v. West Virginia Human Rights Commission, 383 S.E.2d 277, 278 (W. Va. 1989), the police were called when a family of Syrian nationals entered a K-Mart store. The store had been warned by the police to be on the lookout for a shoplifting band of gypsies. There was some question whether the term “gypsies” was meant to refer to racial or ethnic characteristics, including skin
though this defense does not always succeed.  

Furthermore, in the broad range of commercial settings where exclusionary or otherwise discriminatory service is against the law, enforcement is either slack or nonexistent. Violations of blacks' right to shop or consume are treated like isolated social problems. Except in the most egregious circumstances, the discriminatory treatment blacks encounter as consumers is not considered amenable to the law's policing.
As a result of the law's abdication, individual blacks resort either to compensatory moves or informal mechanisms of resistance to secure their right to shop.25 Most blacks compensate by proving themselves to be worthy shoppers, i.e., they sell themselves in order to be sold to. They dress up to go shopping in the hope that their appearance will convey the fact that they are both entitled to browse and capable of paying for any item they put their hands on. Some folks flash their credit cards or engage the salesperson in conversation designed to reveal the shopper's class position or sophistication regarding the product. Others will buy expensive goods they do not really want just to prove that they have been misjudged by a salesclerk. Along the same lines, I sometimes give a waiter or cab driver a generous tip despite poor service in an effort to debunk the common complaint that blacks do not tip; I hope that the next black patron will reap the benefit of my generosity. These role-reversal techniques (which confuse the matter of who is selling what to whom) facilitate the exploitation of black consumers by increasing the costs of going shopping, if not the amount actually spent on purchases.

Complaining about disrespectful treatment is an option, but close to a majority of blacks consider complaining a waste of time.26 Given the prevalence of poor service not motivated by racial animus, many blacks give the low-status, underpaid employee waiting on them the benefit of the doubt and proceed with caution when making a fuss. More defiant blacks purposefully make an obnoxious salesperson show them goods or otherwise waste her or his time and then leave the store without buying anything. Some blacks simply delight in being served by a subordinate white person and do

(E.D.N.Y. 1993) (denying defendant's motion for summary judgment in action where car rental agency refused to rent luxury cars to blacks, including plaintiff who had reserved car for daughter's wedding); Zenon v. Restaurant Compostella, Inc., 790 F. Supp. 41, 44-45 (D.P.R. 1992) (allowing action by three black Puerto Ricans who waited for three hours to be served while whites were immediately seated); Jones v. City of Boston, 738 F. Supp. 604, 606-07 (D. Mass. 1990) (denying summary judgment based on various laws guaranteeing access to places of public accommodation in case brought by black man whom bartender referred to as "nigger" in addressing white women with whom plaintiff had spoken); King v. Greyhound Lines, 656 P.2d 349, 352 (Or. Ct. App. 1982) (holding racial slurs actionable under Oregon public accommodations law in a case brought by black man who attempted to return bus ticket).

25. See Williams, When Blacks Shop, supra note 4, at A14 (cataloguing stories of "bad service, public humiliation and legal harassment").

26. See Rhonda Reynolds, Facts & Figures: Courting Black Consumers, BLACK ENTERPRISE, Sept. 1993, at 43 (citing survey data indicating that, while 65% of blacks consult salespeople and 59% feel disrespected by them, 48% viewed complaining about service as waste of time).
not try to hide their relish. Operating in resistance mode, other blacks dress any way they want and dare store employees to mess with them. As one Philadelphia youngster put it: "When you black, you gotta go in there like I do—like you ain't got a guilty conscience—and they won't even mess with you."27

Blacks have also mounted more formal, collective resistance to being denied the right to shop. People who lack political power but possess economic power employ boycotts. Boycotts have long been used by blacks to protest their mistreatment as customers. The Montgomery bus boycott is probably the most notable historical example.28 The most well-publicized recent consumer boycotts have targeted Korean merchants in black urban enclaves who responded to suspected shoplifters, often black females, with what some in the surrounding communities deem to be excessive force.29

Expanded political activity aimed largely or exclusively at improving the terms and conditions on which blacks shop or consume is likely to encounter resistance from blacks on two grounds, both of which involve blacks' labeling their own commercial behavior deviant. First, many blacks consider consumption a vice. They are more than ready to believe that any black person who encounters disrespectful treatment in a store or shop is getting what she or he de-

27. NIGHTINGALE, supra note 6, at 129.
29. Boycotts have taken place throughout the United States. The two most notable boycotts occurred at opposite ends of the country. In Los Angeles, a female storekeeper got into a verbal altercation with Latasha Harlins, a black teenager, over a $1.78 bottle of orange juice and wound up shooting Harlins in the back of the head. Protests and a boycott ensued. See Wanda Coleman, Remembering Latasha: Blacks, Immigrants and America, NATION, Feb. 15, 1993, at 187, 188-91, Seth Mydans, Two Views of Protest at Korean Shop, N.Y. TIMES, Dec. 24, 1991, at A10. The shopkeeper received a suspended sentence and was placed on probation for the killing which was videotaped by an in-store surveillance camera. People v. Superior Court (Soon Ja Du), 7 Cal. Rptr. 3d 177, 178-79 (Ct. App. 1992). The killing of Ms. Harlins and the shopkeeper's lenient sentence have been offered as explanations for the targeting of Korean-owned businesses during the uprising following the verdict in the state court trial of the police officers who beat motorist Rodney King. See generally Sumi K. Cho, Korean Americans v. African Americans: Conflict and Construction, in READING RODNEY KING, READING URBAN UPRISING 196, 196-214 (Robert Gooding-Williams ed., 1993) (addressing racial violence and social unrest following police beating of Rodney King).

In New York City, the Family Red Apple grocery was boycotted after a black customer alleged that she was assaulted after being falsely accused of shoplifting. See Lisa W. Foderaro, One Grocery Boycott Ends, But Earlier Siege Continues, N.Y. TIMES, May 17, 1990, § 1, at 38; see also Jang v. Brown, 560 N.Y.S.2d 307, 312-13 (N.Y. App. Div. 1990) (requiring police to enforce order enjoining picketing within 50 feet of store); People v. McLeod, 570 N.Y.S.2d 431, 436 (N.Y. Crim. Ct. 1991) (upholding contempt action against protestor who violated injunction awarded to Jang).
serves.\textsuperscript{30} Second although protests against the conduct of nonblack vendors often proceed on the assumption that a black clientele is better served by businesses owned by blacks, many blacks are skeptical of this proposition. For them, commerce ranks little better than consumption on the list of worthwhile pursuits for black people. Both of these propositions warrant close analysis.

II. CONSUMPTION IN BLACK CULTURAL CRITICISM

A. Consumption as Alienation

There are roughly two critical assessments of black consumption. The standard story associates black consumption with alienation.\textsuperscript{31} It goes something like this: blacks consume conspicuously as a way of compensating for the humiliation and disappointments they incur by reason of being black, exploited, degraded, and oppressed. Blacks use their dollars to buy what they cannot earn, namely status, which is the very thing advertising hype suggests pricey goods can supply. To a certain extent, everyone in the society is enticed by the possibility of creating an identity via consumption,\textsuperscript{32} but blacks, starving for rank and recognition because of racial discrimination, are thought to be more easily duped into parting with their hard-earned money, and having little to show for it.

According to the alienation critics, the quest for status through consumption is hopeless because status, like style, is a moving target.
get. Once the black consumer gets the latest thing, she or he will not be satisfied for long because the need for the thing grows out of a sense of inferiority, and the possession of the thing is a constant reminder of that. Devalued, the thing possessed is put aside and the quest continues with another target, i.e., another thing.

The alienationists view the pursuit of status through the consumption of commodities as being detrimental to the black community. Unrestrained consumption diverts energy and resources that might be better used in the struggle against white supremacy. It generates, not cooperation, but competition, which can be exhausting when it is not deadly. Nothing illustrates this better than the shocking stories of black youths attacking and sometimes killing other young people in order to rob the victims of their earrings, sneakers, and leather jackets.33

Poorer blacks who try to maintain an appearance of affluence they can ill afford are the primary targets of the alienationists. Poor adults are severely scolded for having perverse priorities, and their spending to buy status is treated like a form of thievery. Not only are they attempting to purloin a rank to which they are not entitled, they are doing so at the expense of their families and their people.

Ever mindful of the goal of advancing the race, the alienation critiques of black consumption do not totally dismiss the search for status via commodities as being contemptible and base. Rather, they distinguish between those statuses that are worth pursuing and those that are not. For instance, many black women feel compelled to conform to white bourgeois female appearance norms in order to combat stereotypes that associate black women with promiscuity, unattractiveness, slovenliness, incompetence, and poverty.34 Black women convey the message that they are respectable, attractive, professionally adept, and upwardly mobile by how they dress. Consumption for these purposes is acceptable to alienationists because it is associated with the uplift of the race, as distinguished from consumption that is demeaning, coarse, and confirming of the worst black stereotypes.

Cornel West makes similar claims in an essay entitled The Crisis of Black Leadership. In a variation on the theme of “clothes

33. See William E. Schmidt, A Growing Urban Fear: Thieves Who Kill for ‘Cool’ Clothing, N.Y. TIMES, Feb. 6, 1990, at A20; see also NIGHTINGALE, supra note 6, at 161–62 (reporting on increase of apparel-related violence, including fights among girls over jewelry).

34. See Kathy Peiss, Making Faces: The Cosmetics Industry and the Cultural Construction of Gender, 1890–1930. GENDERS, Mar. 1990, at 143, 156, 158.
make the man,” West maintains that “[t]he black dress suits with white shirts worn by Malcolm X and Martin Luther King, Jr., signified the seriousness of their deep commitment to black freedom, whereas today the expensive tailored suits of black politicians symbolize their personal success and individual achievement.”

By the same token:

The Victorian three-piece suit—with a clock and chain in the vest—worn by W.E.B. DuBois not only represented the age that shaped and molded him; it also dignified his sense of intellectual vocation, a sense of rendering service by means of critical intelligence and moral action. The shabby clothing worn by most black intellectuals these days may be seen as symbolizing their utter marginality behind the walls of academe and their sense of impotence in the wider world of American culture and politics.

Pursuing the same line of analysis, the alienation critiques have traditionally urged poor blacks to adopt a simpler lifestyle, one unburdened by the quest for frivolous, showy things—one in which materialism is deemphasized and consumption is redirected into more refined, muted, and dignified patterns. Poor blacks should be working hard to buy a house or to get their kids out of public school. The panacea is a nostalgic return to the quest for the American dream exemplified by the way of life once known among black Americans as “striving.” Strivers saved for the future, planned ahead, worked to overcome obstacles, and helped themselves rather than relying on the government.

There are a number of contemporary versions of the alienation critique that take into account the disastrous impact the crack trade and the hedonistic values of the Reagan era have had on the lives of poor blacks. Cornel West, for example, has given the critique a sophisticated, postmodern spin. According to West, “[p]ost-modern culture is more and more a market culture dominated by gangster mentalities and self-destructive wantonness. This culture engulfs us all—yet its impact on the disadvantaged is devastating, resulting in extreme violence in everyday life.” Blacks have fallen into the clutches of “corporate market institutions . . . [that] have created a seductive way of life, a culture of consumption that capitalizes on

36. Id. at 40.
38. West, supra note 35, at 5.
every opportunity to make money.”39 These purveyors of pleasure have turned black life into one dictated by market forces and market moralities which threaten the very existence of black civil society. The result is a form of nihilism, “the lived experience of coping with a life of horrifying meaninglessness, hopelessness, and most important lovelessness.”40 The cure is a politics of conversion with an ethic of love at its core.41

Historian Carl Husemoller Nightingale offers a similar analysis of alienation and the consumption practices of the black urban poor.42 Nightingale’s version, however, concentrates on the very young and also unstintingly indicts mainstream consumer culture for its abuse of poor black urban children. According to Nightingale, poor blacks’ current obsession with conspicuous consumption is more intense and its effects more devastating than ever before.43 Via television, movies, billboards, and other forms of advertising, black children have greater access to mainstream consumer culture than in the past. Moreover, the mainstream has discovered black consumers and is pitching products directly to them. As a result, poor black kids are totally immersed in mainstream consumer culture, their “craving for things has gotten more persistent, and the demands for now outrageously expensive symbols of belonging and prestige have begun earlier in life.”44 But these are hard economic times for blacks on the lowest rungs of the economic ladder, and it is difficult for poor kids and their parents to buy the status symbols the kids feel they need in order to be accorded a measure of respect in this world.45 The more the children need the commodities, the less likely they are to get them. The children suffer disappointment, hurt, humiliation, anger, and envy which lead to conflict and aggression.46

By way of a remedy, Nightingale calls for a national cultural renewal based around a series of core values that can be imparted to kids in the context of a diversity of ethnic and racial traditions. These values include social responsibility to family, community, and the broader society and polity; opposition to violence and the search for alternative forms of expressing pain; and avoidance of the abuse of dominance across lines of gender, race,
class, age, sexual preference, or physical or mental ability, and between humans and the environment.47

B. Consumption as Resistance

While alienation critiques like those of West and Nightingale point to significant aspects of black consumption as evidence of defeat and degradation, the alternatives find in many facets of black consumption signs of defiance, emancipation, and victory over despair and self-destruction. This second set of approaches to black consumption is hipper and more fun. To those with an eye for resistance, consumption is about pleasure, performance, and participation in prosperity.48 Consumption is the site of a struggle to exploit the transformative potential of commodities.49 Transformation comes with using commodities in ways that reveal the repressed or negated contradictions that underlie their production and distribution, such as wearing an article of apparel with the price tag and labels in plain view. Transformation is achieved by altering the image or “blackening” the most mass of mass/masked produced goods so as to subvert domination and the generally received meaning of the thing. A good example of this is the phenomenon of black women and men bleaching their hair shades of blonde nowhere found in nature.

Resistant conspicuous consumption has been and remains an essential element of black deviant (in the mind of white society) subcultures. Today’s B-boys with their baggy jeans, reversed baseball caps, fade haircuts, rap music, and cool poses are the modern day descendants of the zoot suiters of the forties.50 The zoot suiters defiantly wore fluid, generously cut trousers when cloth was in short supply because of the war, and through dance and song created a time and space in which to escape the strictures of alienating wage labor.51 The most sought-after look among contemporary B-boys consists of a classic hunting coat layered over baggy khakis

47. Id. at 192.
48. See, e.g., GARDNER & SHEPPARD, supra note 32, at 57 (contending shopping is form of recreation in which consumers enjoy spectacle of stores and malls); MICA NAVA, CHANGING CULTURES: FEMINISM, YOUTH AND CONSUMERISM 167 (1992) (arguing consumption is “about dreams and consolation, communication and confrontation, image and identity”).
and Timberland boots.\textsuperscript{52} (Can you imagine George Bush being in vogue?) Style watchers would include this ensemble in the category of the survivalist look, which also includes camouflage fatigues and thermal half-face masks.\textsuperscript{53} Females, for whom a tough exterior assures survival and acceptance in a male-dominated public life, may be dressed identically except for large gold earrings. According to cultural studies professor Trish Rose, this survivalist look taps into a post-Vietnam understanding of the urban terrain as a daily guerrilla war. "These clothes have always been about camouflage . . . . On the one hand, it's like the Bigfoot they're looking for: Homeboy's got a crazy-size jacket on, big shoes, a big ski mask that makes him look like Jason in 'Friday the 13th.' How can you miss him? On the other hand, you can't see him, can you?\textsuperscript{54}

A more cynical explanation for the country estate aspect of the look, according to one Bronx teenager, is that "[t]hese clothes make people look white, rich and important."\textsuperscript{55} Of course, the kids do not necessarily acquire their rugged togs the "old fashioned way." In some circles the preferred method of acquisition is shoplifting.\textsuperscript{56}

There are other examples of black deviance expressed in consumption. Gang members display their solidarity by wearing the gear of professional athletic teams with their distinctive color combinations and logos. At least in sartorial terms, the gang members are not unlike members of black Greek sororities and fraternities who dress in the hues of their organizations (like pink & green or crimson & cream).\textsuperscript{57} The black and Hispanic gay men who partook of the ball scene depicted in the documentary \textit{Paris Is Burning}\textsuperscript{58} may have been foreclosed from the world of executives, pampered females, and the military, but they were able to live out their fantasies through consumption conspicuously displayed on the ballroom floor.

The dominant society does not tolerate challenges to the status quo such as are posed by these black consumption-oriented lifestyles. As a general matter, the dominant society responds to devi-

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{54} Id.
\item \textsuperscript{55} Penn & Erikson, supra note 52, at 14.
\item \textsuperscript{56} Id.
\item \textsuperscript{58} \textit{Paris Is Burning} (Prestige Films 1991).
\end{itemize}
tant subcultures in either or both of two ways. It may co-opt the styles by turning them into commodities which are sold back to the originators and others, or it may label the styles deviant and attempt to repress them. Either way, the opportunity to use creative consumption to contradict the received meaning of things and to undermine the status quo is impaired.

For example, drag has become a common feature of mass entertainment, and voguing (the gay ball dance form) was popularized by Madonna in a way that practically made it her own. "Once mainstream America began to copy a subculture that was copying it, the subculture itself was no longer of interest to a wider audience, and whatever new opportunities existed for the principals [featured in Paris Is Burning] dried up." While B-boy attire has become mainstream adolescent garb, schools, amusement parks, and malls have adopted dress codes that exclude some young people wearing similar apparel (bandannas, athletic team gear, jogging suits, even pajamas with a billiard ball print) on the ground that it is associated with gangs. These regulations are much like uniform requirements that restrict what low-status workers can wear on the job; such controls especially impact on young blacks for whom style is important.

Even black consumption practices that hardly seem anti-social because they are not associated with violent or aggressive behavior may be treated as deviant. For example, more and more blacks are dressing in whole or in part in African garb as an expression of their identity and racial solidarity or their adherence to the ideology of Afrocentricity. Yet African-inspired dress is under attack. A black lawyer in Washington, D.C., was ordered not to wear a kente cloth shawl to court. Employers may forbid black female employees from wearing their hair braided, a practice common among African women. White-owned producers of natural hair care products

have appropriated terms associated with race pride and black ownership like “African” and “kente” and attempted to use trademark law to prohibit smaller black businesses from utilizing the words.  

III. BEYOND ALIENATION AND RESISTANCE: THE HOPE OF A BLACK PUBLIC SPHERE

Both the alienation and the resistance critiques of black consumption have their strengths and limitations. The alienation critiques are on target when they decry conspicuous consumption that is destructive of individuals, families, and neighborhoods. Those who articulate the alienation critiques, however, too often fail to acknowledge class warfare within the black community and the degree to which a bourgeois bias permeates the alienation perspectives. Because of this insensitivity to class concerns, their social censure of the buying behavior of poorer blacks may actually backfire by further entrapping poorer blacks in the cycle of conspicuous consumption. The very idea that a commodity is something that poorer or less well-off folks are not supposed to have may make them want it that much more in order to teach the meddling black bourgeoisie a lesson.

The alienation critiques do not give black folks enough credit for struggling to combat the stifling effect of white supremacy. Blacks’ tastes for expensive commodities are not solely attributable to the base reasons the critiques cite. The quest for quality is partly a response to a history of being cheated by inferior goods and inflated prices. Furthermore, the notion advanced by the alienationists that blacks should buy certain things (and only certain things) as a way of gaining a station in life more nearly equal to that of whites leaves too little room for the operation of human agency enlightened by a black critical consciousness. Such a notion in essence denies that black culture and the black public sphere create alternative mechanisms for achieving status and recognition. Blacks in general simply do not pay that much attention to white

---


people.

The alienation critiques do not say enough about the role consumption might play in blacks’ conception of the good life. There has to be more to a black good life than countering what white people do to black people, or doing tomorrow what white people will not let blacks do today. Good things and good times gauged by black folks’ standards must figure somewhere in their liberation. If nothing else, consumption fuels production and production creates jobs. Commodities should be thought of as a means of packaging people’s needs and desires in ways that generate jobs. The economic survival of black people depends upon their creating a black public sphere that consists of markets and audiences for the products of their labor.

The consumption as resistance approaches avoid some of the criticism leveled against the consumption as alienation approaches by focusing on how black people change consumption, rather than on how consumption changes black people. Nonetheless, the resistance approaches are undermined by the fact that resistance exponents tend to see rebellion everywhere and rarely admit that, when it comes to consuming things, it is difficult to distinguish transformation from co-optation. Exploiting contradictions is not quite the same as taking advantage of openings for reform.

The consumption as resistance approaches overemphasize the significance of symbolic protest. Lifestyles may be a form of political expression or praxis, but they typically entail little strain and no gain because they are not hitched to an agenda for political, social, and economic change. For example, contemporary black youth subcultures, like most other youth subcultures, tend to practice “a politics of metaphor,” one that capitalizes on the participants’ “pow-

---

67. In this Article, I use the term “public sphere” in a deliberately vague way to encompass both markets and audiences. The public sphere to which I refer is somewhat more expansive than the realm of public debate and deliberation with which the term is associated in the work of Jurgen Habermas. On the multiplicity of public spheres, see generally Nancy Fraser, Rethinking the Public Sphere: A Contribution to the Critique of Actually Existing Democracy, in THE PHANTOM PUBLIC SPHERE 1, 1–32 (Bruce Robbins ed., 1993) (showing bourgeois conception of public sphere inadequate, while proffering post-bourgeois conception).

68. See WILLIAMS, supra note 31, at 209 (arguing lifestyles create communities based not on ideals, but on similar buying preferences and desire to exploit power connected with certain commodities). See generally Adolph Reed, Jr., The Allure of Malcolm X and the Changing Character of Black Politics, in MALCOLM X: IN OUR OWN IMAGE, supra note 51, at 203, 203–32 (arguing theories of cultural resistance which equate consumption with political action are manifestations of politics of evasion).
er to discomfort," the power "to pose—to pose a threat."69 Black kids adopting the ways of B-boys and girlfriends are both rejecting a subordinate status and confirming their powerlessness, if not compounding it.70 Because black people, particularly young black males, are feared and so heavily policed by the white mainstream, their symbolic defiance is generally read literally by the authorities who respond with genuinely harsh repression.

At the same time, some of the more creative and enterprising participants in black youth culture are successfully turning the products of their creativity into commodities produced and sold by them. What is needed are more ways for the participants of black subcultures to exploit the unrealized potential for political mobilization and economic development inherent in their lifestyles.

The efforts of these enterprising young black artists and media types have provoked an outcry against the commodification of black culture. The critics need to face reality. In late capitalism, all cultures are turned into commodities, not just black American culture. Black American culture is always already in the public domain where it is ripe to be ripped off by anyone paying attention. Adherence to black cultural modes and mores cannot be used as a sign of solidarity or a mark of economic authenticity or group origin (like a Kosher designation); nor can it function as a political ideology. It is impossible to exclude whites from black cultural production. Blacks cannot monopolize the black public sphere, but they should be able to make it work better for them than it does for nonblacks.

Furthermore, there is nothing wrong with consciously connecting culture to consumption and production if the goal is to increase the availability of employment among blacks and the wealth controlled by black institutions and firms that are accountable to black people. The call for an expanded, enlivened black public sphere is not a call for separatism or self-sufficiency. It is about putting blacks at the center of a universe of markets and audiences that integrate whites into arenas controlled by blacks, rather than the other way around.

Both the consumption as resistance and the consumption as alienation approaches ignore the fact that consumption is an exercise of economic power.71 Blacks have used various mechanisms, from marketing themselves72 to holding “black dollar” days,73 to

70. Id. at 35.
71. See NAVA, supra note 48, at 195-99 (noting discriminating consumers can exercise considerable power over production).
impress white producers and sellers with the strength of their buying power. Where there is respect for black buying power, however, it can manifest itself in proposals to target them for rubbish like specialty brand cigarettes and high potency malt liquors that would not be marketed to anyone else. As long as blacks concentrate only on consumption and ignore the production and distribution of commodities, their buying power can only sustain the status quo. Consumption is more disruptive, however, when its linkages to production and distribution are acknowledged and consumption practices are altered in a way that attacks the discriminatory and oppressive manner in which goods are made and sold, as well as bought.

The black consumer boycotts of the Great Depression and Civil Rights Era joined production and consumption as the subjects of protest. The primary goal of these boycotts was not improved service, but positions for black employees at concerns where blacks spent their money. (Of course, service might also improve as a result of an expanded black work force.) The slogan “Don't Buy Where You Can't Work!” captured the sentiment motivating the boycotts of the 1930s. In the 1960s there was a great deal of litigation concerning the civil rights boycotts in both state and federal courts.

73. Under the NAACP’s “Black Dollar Days” program, blacks were urged to make purchases with two dollar bills and Susan B. Anthony dollars so that cash receipts at the end of the day would reveal the extent of black buying power. See Edward J. Boyer, Project to Demonstrate Buying Power of Blacks, L.A. TIMES, Aug. 27, 1985, § 1, at 3; Shelia M. Poole, Black Consumers Make a Point About Buying Power, ATLANTA CONST., Aug. 31, 1991, at C7; Sheila Rule, Drive by N.A.A.C.P. Gets Mixed Results, N.Y. TIMES, Sept. 7, 1983, at A17.

74. Janet Cawley, Brewer to Drop Controversial Malt Liquor, CIII. TRIB., July 4, 1991, § 1, at 3 (reporting decision of G. Heileman Brewing Co. to withdraw PowerMaster Malt Liquor which was marketed to blacks); Danny R. Johnson, Blacks Targeted, PLAIN DEALER (Cleveland), Feb. 7, 1993, at 1C (exploring impact of tobacco company largess and advertising on black media and black nonprofit organizations); Marc Lacey, Marketing of Malt Liquor Fuels Debate, L.A. TIMES, Dec. 15, 1992, at A32 (outlining criticisms of marketing tactics used to make malt liquor attractive to inner-city youth).

The boycotts were enjoined on the grounds that they discriminated against white businesses and their white employees on the basis of race; they substantially interfered with businesses and preexisting employment relationships; and they threatened the public safety. Extortion prosecutions, damage actions, and prohibitions on the dissemination of boycott literature were also used in an attempt to restrain black protest. Through it all, blacks struggled to use their power as consumers to enhance their position in the sphere of commerce.

Blacks have sometimes moved beyond demanding jobs in white-owned firms to insisting upon black ownership of or participation in the businesses with which blacks deal. Grassroots boycotts have occasionally been used to challenge white ownership of businesses in black neighborhoods. Increased utilization of minority contractors and the award of franchises to blacks are goals of the NAACP Fair-Share program which uses the threat of adverse publicity and boycotts to extract concessions from big companies that benefit from

76. See, e.g., Machesky v. Bizzell, 414 F.2d 283, 291 (5th Cir. 1969) (limiting injunction against civil rights group in Greenwood, Mississippi, so as to exclude constitutionally protected activity); Smith v. Grady, 411 F.2d 181, 188–89 (5th Cir. 1969) (upholding injunction against allegedly violent behavior during protest conducted by Hattisburg, Mississippi NAACP); Clemmons v. CORE, 201 F. Supp. 737, 750–51 (E.D. La. 1962) (granting injunction curbing picketing and protests in Baton Rouge); NAACP v. Webb’s City, Inc., 152 So. 2d 179, 181–82 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 1963) (granting injunction curbing picketing of store on ground that owner’s commercial expectations outweighed defendants’ interest in pursuing social objectives), vacated, 376 U.S. 190 (1964); Young Adults for Progressive Action, Inc. v. B & B Cash Grocery Stores, Inc., 151 So. 2d 877 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 1963) (enjoining picketing provoked by plaintiff’s failure to employ blacks other than in menial jobs); Williams v. Maloof, 157 S.E.2d 479, 480 (Ga. 1967) (protecting grocery store picketed after refusing to divulge data regarding black employment); In re Young, 211 N.Y.S.2d 621 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 1961) (finding leader of boycott aimed at forcing retail liquor sellers in Harlem to buy from black salesmen guilty of contempt).

77. Swain v. State, 407 S.W.2d 452, 457 (Tenn. 1966) (upholding conviction where beer distributor contended he paid for advertising in defendant’s publication in return for end of boycott).


79. Kirkland v. Wallace, 403 F.2d 413, 417 (5th Cir. 1968) (holding Alabama boycott notice statute unconstitutional).

80. Delano Village Co. v. Orridge, 553 N.Y.S.2d 938, 944 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 1990) (ruling correspondence and rent strike undertaken by tenants to prevent sale of residential complex to white developer were protected activities); see also Libby-Broadway Drive-in, Inc. v. McDonald’s Sys., Inc., 391 N.E.2d 1, 4 (Ill. App. Ct. 1979) (denying enforcement of oral agreement for comparable properties made when franchisee was persuaded to sell franchises to black owners following protest activity).
black consumption. Actual sustained campaigns, however, must contend with the conviction held by many blacks that, if shopping is deviance, selling is outright depravity.

IV. “GET A JOB!”: SELLING AS BLACK DEVIANCE

Blacks, especially the bourgeoisie, tend to be skeptical of selling as a means of employment. Selling is a form of hustling, and hustling is hard, dirty, dishonest, and demeaning work. It requires risk taking. Unlike a good job, selling offers no guaranteed income, and many blacks want guarantees or are told that there is something wrong with them if they do not.

In fact, many blacks find the idea of starting any kind of business and working for themselves unthinkable. “There is a perception that Black businesses are marginal, require too much work for too little income, and that it’s more lucrative, less demanding and more financially rewarding to work for someone else than to own your own business.” As a result, in some quarters a highly salaried employee is held in higher esteem than a successful business owner.

An anticommercial ethic is ingrained in black middle-class and working-class cultures. In his book Black Folks Guide to Business Success, George Subira lists a score of attitudes that make black entrepreneurship seem like deviant behavior. Included are such notions as: blacks are a poor people relegated to consuming as opposed to producing wealth; money and the love of it are the root of all evil; wealth is only made through the exploitation of others; one must have money to make money; white people are not going to let blacks make any real money; a lot of money weakens one’s char-

83. See GEORGE SUBIRA, BLACK FOLKS GUIDE TO BUSINESS SUCCESS 95–96 (1986) (arguing that friends and family may be unsupportive of black man’s desire to go into business for himself because they are risk averse, think change would be waste of time, effort, and talent, and would result in loss of status). According to Subira, a different set of concerns, largely related to her spouse’s status as head of the household, are invoked against the married black woman who has similar ambitions. Id. at 97–99.
84. Id. at i.
85. KUNJUFU, supra note 82, at 45.
86. See SUBIRA, supra note 83, at 50–74.
acter; money is not the key to a happy, fulfilling life; and the govern­
ment takes anything that one earns in taxes. These sentiments surely are enough to shame some black people from trying to suc­ceed in business.

I do not mean to overstate the pervasiveness or the effectiveness of these anticommercial aspects of black culture, however. The cultural obstacles do not entirely deter blacks from going into business. Moreover, interest among blacks in running their own businesses and working for themselves is growing. It should be understood that the minuscule participation of blacks in the business sector is hardly a matter of choice.

The negative assessment of commercial activity I have outlined here reflects the experience of a law-abiding working class that has struggled mightily to hold on to low-paying, low-status jobs and a middle class that has achieved its position through government and professional service, and not through self-employment and business ownership. Their negative attitudes regarding entrepreneurship are the product of the notable lack of success of black businesses. The systematic destruction of black commerce and the repression of the development of a black commercial consciousness left blacks with little choice but to disparage entrepreneurship.

There have been and still are scores of systemic impediments to black entrepreneurship. Black business development has been hindered by the refusal of banks and other financial institutions to extend credit to black businesses on account of geographical redlining and the race of the borrower. “[D]iscrimination in the labor market makes it difficult for blacks to generate the initial equity investment for business formation.” Friends and family, possible sources of start-up capital, are no better situated than the would-be entrepreneur. Moreover, blacks’ foreclosure from the skilled labor trades has impeded the acquisition of the skills and experience required for self-employment. Black businesses also have been restricted to segregated markets offering little potential for growth.

87. See generally Shelley Green & Paul Pryde, Black Entrepreneurship in America 113–14 (1990) (discussing different attitudes various categories of blacks have toward black entrepreneurship).
In addition, the law has thrown its share of obstacles in the way of black economic development. Since the end of Reconstruction, the law has made it difficult for blacks to establish legitimate licensed businesses, including, of late, entry-level vending. Moreover, the law has failed to come to the aid of black businesspersons victimized by anticompetitive behavior, however violent and pernicious. Given the difficulties blacks have encountered in trying to run their own concerns, it is small wonder that blacks who could find some kind of job concluded that the opportunity costs associated with foregoing employment and going into business for themselves were too high.

The attitudes that keep blacks from entering into business also affect their patronage of black concerns. Blacks are exceptionally exploited consumers and as a result are suspicious of entrepreneurs, black, white, brown, or yellow, but most especially black. Black consumers simply do not believe that black concerns offer quality goods and services at a reasonable price. (The sentiment extends to professionals as well; there are many black people who, if given a choice, prefer a white lawyer to a black one.)

Periodically blacks are urged to patronize black businesses in the name of racial solidarity. The recent wave of “Buy Black” campaigns exhorts blacks to patronize black businesses in order to max-

93. See WALTER E. WILLIAMS, THE STATE AGAINST BLACKS 67–123 (1982) (exploring impact of occupational and business licensing on blacks); see also BATES, supra note 88, at 20–21 (citing Southern Jim Crow licensing requirements that burdened black artisans and skilled tradesmen); BUTLER, supra note 92, at 144 (stating laws forbidding assembly impeded black economic activity).


95. Ida B. Wells recounts the story of the 1892 lynching of three law-abiding Memphis blacks who ran a successful grocery store that competed with a white-owned store on the opposite corner. The black businessmen became too independent and were taught a lesson in subordination. Ida B. WELLS, SOUTHERN HORRORS: LYNCH LAW IN ALL ITS PHASES 18–19 (New York, New York Age Print 1892); see also BUTLER, supra note 92, at 209 (attributing 1921 destruction of black Greenwood section of Tulsa by rioting whites to economic threat black prosperity posed).


98. Forty-seven percent of the respondents to a readers’ poll conducted by Ebony magazine indicated that they use the services of non-black attorneys, while 35.6% consulted a black lawyer. Annual Readers Poll, EBONY, Sept. 1993, at 92, 96; see also Shelly Branch & Caroline V. Clarke, The Nation’s Leading Black Law Firms, BLACK ENTERPRISE, Aug. 1993, at 48, 52 (reporting that “the nation’s largest black businesses routinely shun the services of black firms”).
imize the recirculation of dollars among blacks, and thereby strengthen the economy of black enclaves. Yet it remains unclear to many blacks why race should trump economic self-interest. For them, race at best tempers, but does not replace, efficiency as the top priority in shopping. As Merah Steven Stuart argued in 1940, black business owners should

know that any economy that must always be "wet-nursed" by racial sentiment and coddled in the lap of race pride must forever remain puny and dependent. They know that the natural human desires to profit, to advance, to take advantage of the best bargains are major appeals too strong to be overcome by considerations of race loyalty.

Stuart’s assessment remains true today. When told “that the store where she bought her granddaughter’s clothes was black owned,” Ethellen Richardson, a fifty-five-year-old office cleaner, said, “I think it’s a great idea and probably I’ll shop here more often . . . . But I’ll still look around for the best bargain.”

If the appeals to racial solidarity work and blacks do direct their business to black concerns, they want something in return: if not quality goods and services or jobs, then contributions to the community that represent a “pay back” by their enterprising sisters and brothers. George Subira states the case from the businessperson’s perspective:

The wealth of the Black business person is seen as being a direct cost to the community, rather than a gain in community income coming from the outside White world. Now, the community feels if you are going to become wealthy from their hard-earned dollars, then you are going to have to give back to the community.

These expectations are disappointed when black entrepreneurs either run their businesses to suit their own predilections or seek to maximize profits and their own individual wealth. The disappointment provokes charges (tinged with a certain amount of jealousy)

100. KUNJUFU, supra note 82, at 48-49 (illustrating lack of consumer loyalty for black businesses and calling upon consumers to be more considerate, patient, and understanding of impact of black businesses).
103. SUBIRA, supra note 83, at 71. Subira suggests that the demands for charitable contributions placed on black businesspersons are greater than they can possibly satisfy. Id.
that the successful entrepreneurs have forgotten where they came from. Maybe they have forgotten because they feel they must.

Economic self-interest cuts in both directions. If black merchants are not good enough for black consumers, black consumers are not good enough for black merchants. Black businesses relegated to a black clientele generally have limited prospects for growth. Success requires branching out beyond the enclave. This carries with it the charge, if not the actuality, of racial unaccountability.

The tension between black consumers and black business and the debate over the political significance of black patronage and black enterprises is nothing new.\textsuperscript{104} Changes in the global economy, however, may render the tension superfluous. Criticisms of ideologies of self-help (including economic nationalism and black capitalism) should seem beside the point at a time when Chinese, Japanese, and Indian entrepreneurs scattered all over the world are using their cultural and religious ties to reap significant material gains for their groups.\textsuperscript{105} No people should expect to survive in the new world economic order if it does not help itself. What blacks need is a rational nationalism which focuses upon building a nonseparatist, expansive, cooperative black public sphere such as I have discussed above.

In sum, then, commercial activity by blacks is discouraged by blacks relying on arguments from a number of sources ranging from black Christian theology, to grassroots socialist critiques of the political economy, to everyday jurisprudence. When combined with the strictures on black consumption and the material impediments to black enterprise, the anticommercial bias in black culture acts as a constraint on blacks’ freedom to become more than marginally active participants in the sphere of production. To rectify the situation, blacks must, at the very least, explore ways to make their shopping and selling, their consumption and commerce, more desirable than deviant.

\textsuperscript{104} See 2 ST. CLAIR DRAKE & HORACE R. CAYTON, BLACK METROPOLIS: A STUDY OF NEGRO LIFE IN A NORTHERN CITY 430–69 (1962) (exploring mutual grievances of black consumers and entrepreneurs in Chicago community in 1940s).

V. RESTORING LEGITIMACY TO SHOPPING
AND SELLING BY BLACKS

At this stage I can only offer a few preliminary suggestions for correcting the problems that stem from labeling shopping and selling by blacks deviant behavior. One possible approach would be to work on those who benefit from the labeling. Storeowners and other purveyors of goods and services who are guilty of discriminatory and disrespectful treatment could be targeted and prosecuted for their illegal behavior. Where the law will not work, moral persuasion might be employed. Unfortunately, I see little reason to expect that vendors will change the way in which they treat blacks because their present practices are too profitable.

A direct assault on the exploitation that is at the root of the disparate service blacks encounter should reap a greater return. It must be documented in detail, exposed, and prohibited. Similar treatment should be accorded the ideology that encourages and condones the separation of blacks from their money by any means available.

Remedies that take into account the inextricable link between consumption and commerce are likely to be more effective than those that concentrate on consumption alone. There should be a positive change in the status of blacks as consumers if there is a positive change in their status as producers and sellers. The latter is dependent on the expansion of the production side of the black public sphere.

Generating collective pro-production, pro-distribution sentiments among blacks is one place to start. Blacks talk about shopping all the time. Shopping is, after all, performance and entertainment.\(^\text{106}\) It is also the occasion for black/white and black/nonblack interactions and confrontations. There is even a contemporary folklore of rumors and legends about products that are supposedly contaminated (and certainly unhealthy) and products whose producers are linked to antiblack conspiracies.\(^\text{107}\) Blacks need a comparable set of economic tales and practices that pertain to the production
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106. See generally Rob Shields, Spaces for the Subject of Consumption, in LIFESTYLE SHOPPING: THE SUBJECT OF CONSUMPTION 1, 1-10 (Rob Shields ed., 1992) (discussing consumption patterns in postmodern era).

side of commercial transactions. They need narratives that debunk the lies about blacks and money and blacks and commerce. Blacks need to brag about their enterprising ancestors. Not every family can boast of having a Madam C.J. Walker somewhere on the tree, but a self-employed carpenter, rooming house proprietor, or hairdresser ought to be as significant as a teacher or a doctor. Blacks need to tell complex and nuanced stories about black businesses that could not be established, black establishments that are poorly run, and black concerns whose performances exceed expectations. Even legal commentators could pay more attention to commercial cases that reveal the subordinate status to which black business has been relegated. A regulatory matter can be every bit as exciting as a civil rights case if the former deals with the systematic exclusion of blacks from full participation in the sphere of production.

Given that many blacks distrust businesses organized along conventional capitalist lines, alternative economic arrangements should be explored. Black church-run enterprises are successful in part because they are not operated on a for-profit basis. Church-owned shopping areas, housing projects, and credit unions represent the legacy of a black tradition of mutual aid and communal self-help. We need to know what the experience has been with secular black cooperatives and what could be done to increase their number. We need to consider new forms of nonprofit enterprise. For example, nonmarket moneyless service exchanges or cooperation circles which allow participants to swap time, labor, and services should be particularly attractive to black consumers wary of the costs imposed on those who are unable to undertake self-help or do-it-yourself methods.


110. See HAROLD CRUSE, PLURAL BUT EQUAL: A CRITICAL STUDY OF BLACKS AND MINORITIES AND AMERICA'S PLURAL SOCIETY 111–14, 148–49, 177, 340–41 (1987) (criticizing civil rights establishment for failing to pursue economic agenda that should have included cooperatives as called for by several commentators including E. Franklin Frazier and George S. Schuyler); HAROLD CRUSE, THE CRISIS OF THE NEGRO INTELLECTUAL 137–39, 173 (1967) (recounting failure of Communists in 1930s to pursue cooperative model as solution to black people's economic woes because of fear of black nationalism); Al Ulmer, Cooperatives and Poor People in the South, in BLACK BUSINESS ENTERPRISE: HISTORICAL AND CONTEMPORARY PERSPECTIVES 243, 244–50 (Ronald W. Bailey ed., 1971) [hereinafter BLACK BUSINESS ENTERPRISE].

111. See generally CLAUS OFFE & ROLF G. HEINZE, BEYOND EMPLOYMENT: TIME,
If for-profit enterprises are inescapable, then the issue of blacks exploiting other blacks must be addressed in a frank and thorough way. The fear of being unfairly used and the lack of mutual trust make collective endeavors, be they families or factories, difficult for many black people. Blacks cannot realistically expect to effect wholesale changes in the character of the American economy, but they can work to institutionalize mechanisms that insure greater economic cooperation among blacks of different classes, lessen the exploitation of black consumers by returning profits to the communities in which they are generated, and ameliorate the great disparities in the capital controlled by blacks and whites.

If blacks are to prosper in the new global economy, they will need a strong ethnic identity and sense of mutual dependence and trust, as well as a passion for scientific and technical knowledge, and an ability to adapt to a cosmopolitan global economy. They must work on creating and articulating ethical ways of treating each other in commercial transactions. They need the moral equivalent of a black commercial code. They need assurances of quality, the equivalent of a “Kosher” standard of production. There must be mechanisms for guaranteeing the recirculation of money among blacks. The concept of the “black dollar” must have behind it institutionalized mechanisms of capital accumulation and capital venture.

Increased numbers of black-owned retail establishments would give black consumers more options, but it is not clear that establishing and sustaining such enterprises should be made the highest priority. In the past, viable traditional retail and personal service enterprises in black enclaves have been run by persons with limited education; these businesses do not, however, employ others and their growth potential is limited. College-educated blacks with more options rarely go into retailing or start personal service businesses, although they are increasingly entering the emerging
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112. Kotkin, supra note 105, at 4–5, 16.

113. See Janet T. Land, Culture and Entrepreneurship in Less-Developed Countries: Ethnic Trading Networks as Economic Organizations, in The Culture of Entrepreneurship, supra note 96, at 53, 65 (analyzing effect of ethnicity in facilitating commerce).

114. For example, the California organization Recycling Black Dollars has proposed a “rating system that [would] award black businesses up to four stars for good service.” Marc Lacey, Blacks Told the Color of Money Isn’t Only Green, L.A. Times, Mar. 14, 1991, at J13.


FIRE (finance, insurance, and real estate) sector where they are serving a black clientele.\textsuperscript{117} I suspect that this latter phenomenon is attributable to declining opportunities in white firms and the existence of an expanded client base of middle-class blacks capable of paying for such services.

The more successful black firms are in nontraditional areas like wholesaling, general construction, and skill-intensive services; do not serve a black clientele; and are not located in black enclaves. They are nonetheless very valuable to the black community in part because they tend to employ black people wherever the firms are located.\textsuperscript{118} Job growth is occurring in small firms.\textsuperscript{119} Small businesses rely on informal recruitment practices like referrals from existing employees and personal interviews that work to the disadvantage of inner-city blacks.\textsuperscript{120} Though small businesses are hardly the cure for high rates of black unemployment, greater participation of blacks in the labor force may be linked to the welfare of black-owned enterprises that hire blacks. Increased employment and rising incomes for blacks will in turn increase the viability of small black-owned retail establishments and the internal labor markets of black enclaves.\textsuperscript{121} Improvements in the overall economic position of blacks might be beneficial in ameliorating the troubles blacks incur in shopping. If blacks had greater economic clout, they might seem to be more worthy consumers and receive better treatment from nonblack concerns. If blacks had greater economic clout, they might also care less.

Finally, blacks need to embrace the idea that economic resistance is something every black can engage in every day. Blacks must take on the mantle of outlaws or bandits, for example, when it comes to passing dollars from one black hand to the next as many times as possible before the dollars fall back into the grasp of someone else. That is not the way it is supposed to be. The white-dominated power structure has done such a good job of making blacks out to be thieves that it can steal from them with impunity. Fur-

\textsuperscript{117} Bates, \textit{supra} note 88, at 63.

\textsuperscript{118} Id. at 11, 15, 62, 77; see also Arnold Schuchter, \textit{Conjoining Black Revolution and Private Enterprise}, in \textit{BLACK BUSINESS ENTERPRISE}, supra \textit{note} 110, at 205, 219 (listing benefits to minority communities of small suppliers of goods and services to major industries).


\textsuperscript{121} Bates, \textit{supra} note 88, at 117-18.
thermore, by making blacks suspicious of commerce through making commerce the equivalent of thievery, it has reduced the ability of blacks to turn their buying power into selling power and their selling power into institutions and firms they control. Who is fooling whom here? Blacks are not the nation of thieves referred to in the title of this Article. The problems blacks encounter in the areas of shopping and selling are manifestations of a chronic race/class/gender struggle being waged without effective institutional political organizations. This is a context that is ripe for a modern-day variant of black social banditry.122